

Page Denied



OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

22 February 1982

POLICY

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, DCI SECURITY COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: DCID 1/11 Draft # 3 of 2 February 1982

[] provided a copy of subject draft and asked that I review it and forward any comments to you.

STAT

Generally, I like the draft and can easily support it subject to a few reservations outlined in the following paragraphs. It does draw sharp boundaries between the designation of information as "compartmented," and the security policies that will be used to protect such information. Thus, it solves the substantial old problem that was born from ambiguous language concerning security advice and guidance. So, that said, specifically:

- the present paragraph 2.d. should be a separate paragraph for the sake of efficient construction of the directive.

- Attachment 5 should be a bit less ambiguous in its charge to members and a bit more hortatory. Attached is a redraft which my member will submit to the Chairman, Investigative Standards Working Group, for that body's consideration, which I endorse.

- Attachment 6 needs some work and the following comments are keyed to its paragraphs that are offensive:

-- #2 - Investigative agencies, when appropriate, will conduct investigations and report them on their own formats. There is no objection to providing a copy of investigative reports to the Security Committee for inclusion in a central data base. However, there is strong objection to requiring that the format of reports be changed for a few investigations among many thousands that Defense agencies conduct.

-- #3 - (a) The Security Committee need not advise the Community investigative agencies on "procedures for and the scope of internal investigations..."; (b) the investigative agencies have their own liaison with the FBI; (c) the agencies do not need to be told the effects of investigative procedures and what they can do to identify personal culpability.

STAT

-- #4 - The DCI may refer CIA disclosures to the Department of Justice but the Military Departments have their own General Counsels as well as Judge Advocates General and can refer their own matters. The DCI may add his influence with the Department of Justice on matters referred by the Military.

[]
Acting Director
Security Plans and Programs

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied